

112

Dawson Khury - ELAC

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 5:00 - Debate Prelim Elim 2

Room: E3 521

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

Aff

Neg

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership SD & MY

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership SH & MA

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2	Sophia Dai	2	99
1	Melody Yu	4	97

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1	Shirlyn Huang	1	100
2	Madeline Ang	2	98

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Nova

I Vote Aff. While both teams agree Cyber Security is essential, however the Neg's advocacy of DCOs was refuted effectively by the Aff in stating DCOs & OCOs are not mutually exclusive. The Aff takes a nuanced approach by arguing that the two are used in conjunction, not just one or the other. I'm not convinced enough by the Neg that this cannot be the case. Neg runs a convincing case of the dangers of OCOs, but many of the examples used are foreign, but Aff rebukes this by showing DCOs also have drawbacks. It was a really good debate, & not an easy decision, but congratulations to both teams for making it to the final round.

Good job!

Eddie Hunkle - ELAC

111

~~Ted Kim - Nova 42~~

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 5:00 - Debate Prelim Elim 2

Room: E3 511

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership KH & SG

Aff 1st

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership KK & MC

Neg 2nd

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
___	Kaitlyn Huang	4	97
___	Susie Gu	3	98

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
___	Katherine Kligys	2	99
___	Melody Chang	1	100

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ___



ELAC

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Aff: Framework - Cost/worth net benefits, Cyber intrusion into military conflict, Intellectual property.

Cross ex: Mostly clarifications

- Likelihood of an arms race is unlikely.
- Defense is not the way to go.
- Retaliation works differently today.
- Conceded to deterrence point in cross ex 2

- Not all attacks are of equal importance
- Offense, in this case, comes from retaliation?
- We're not going to stop it all, but some.

Neg - Conventional weapons have always served as better deterrence across the board.
• Offensive preparations are the main strategy.
• Russia deterred U.S.? Defense is a better offense.
• Cyber arms race

Cross ex: Mostly clarification

- 1 million cyber attacks daily.
- Escalation is more likely with cyber attacks.

- did not address attribution or foundation.

Alonzo Eldridge

109

Richard Hu - ELAC

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 5:00 - Debate Prelim Elim 2

Room: E3 517

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

AFF

NEG

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership SJ & JX

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership KG & JS

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
1	Stephanie Jing	4	94
2	Jessica Xue	3	96

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
2	Katelyn Gan	2	97
1	Joy Sun	1	98

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

al

Nova 412

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AFF

- Net benefits
- JSOS are effective
- offensive cyber operations
- Treaties h.N's
- Norm setting
- Cost effective
- Chinese here paper
- Military conflict
- Cyber campaign
- economic advancements
- deterring will be the prior of the conflict

Clash

- Cyber operation
- JSOS
- Nuclear War
- Norm setting
- JSOS effective
- Inevitable
- U.S Allies
- Cyber infrastructure
- U.S Grid Shutdown

- * Russia Attacks
- deterring
- Retaliation
- Contradiction on cyber
- 2 "other countries will not respond"

NEG - Grid

- Cost Benefit Analysis
- Surveillance
- Harms outweigh the benefits
- Hackings goes against the U.S
- harm innocent civilians
- * loss of jobs
- 216 billion
- Israel and Palestine examples 2008
- Nuclear sanctions retaliation
- deterring does not work

NEG wins based on the argument of Harms the loss and drop missiles throughout Harms. Great Debate AFF big your norm setting Arg.

110

Ryan Ohanessian - ELAC

Open Public Forum - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 5:00 - Debate Prelim Elim 2

Room: E3 525

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

ASAP

Flip for Sides: Wilshire Academy BA & AJ

Neg 1st

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>2</u>	Brandon An	<u>4</u>	<u>96</u>
<u>1</u>	Andrew Jo	<u>3</u>	<u>97</u>

Flip for Sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership AJ & SC

Aff 2nd

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	PTS (100-70)
<u>1</u>	Annie Jiang	<u>2</u>	<u>98</u>
<u>2</u>	Sarah Chen	<u>1</u>	<u>99</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Wilshire